Report to: Salcombe Harbour Board

Date: **29 February 2016**

Title: 3rd 1/4 Performance Indicators

Portfolio Area: Salcombe Harbour

Wards Affected: All

Relevant Scrutiny Committee: O & S Cttee

Urgent Decision: N Approval and N

clearance obtained:

Date next steps can be taken: N/A

(e.g. referral on of recommendation or implementation of substantive decision)

Author: A Parnell Role: Harbour Master

Contact: **01548 843791**

Recommendations:

- 1. That the Harbour Board NOTES the latest PIs
- **1. Executive summary**. This report summarises Salcombe Harbour's performance indicators (PIs) for the period 1 Oct 31 Dec 15.
- **2. Background**. The Harbour Board endorsed the introduction of a set of PIs and to have them reported as a standing agenda item (SH 26/06).
- 3. Outcomes/outputs.
 - The number of visitors, visitor-nights and income for the 3rd quarter is higher than average.
 - The waiting list length remains broadly static.
 - There were no complaints and 3 compliments recorded.
 - There were 2 occasions of theft from Whitestrand. Although captured on CCTV it has emerged that the quality of recorded images was too poor to be of actionable use and replacement options are being sought.

- **4. Options available and consideration of risk**. Continue to monitor and report; be prepared to review PIs for their utility.
- **5. Proposed Way Forward**. It is proposed to continue monitoring PIs.

6. Implications

Implications	Relevant to proposals Y/N	Details and proposed measures to address	
Legal/Governance	N	The Salcombe Harbour Order 1954	
Financial	N	None	
Risk	N	None	
Comprehensive Impact Assessment Implications			
Equality and Diversity	N	None	
Safeguarding	N	None	
Community Safety, Crime and Disorder	N	No adverse impacts	
Health, Safety and Wellbeing	N	No adverse impacts	
Other implications	N		

Supporting Information

Appendix: 3rd ¼ PI results.

Background Papers: None

Approval and clearance of report

Process checklist	Completed
Portfolio Holder briefed	Yes/No
SLT Rep briefed	Yes/No
Relevant Exec Director sign off (draft)	Yes/No
Data protection issues considered	Yes/No
If exempt information, public (part 1) report	Yes/No
also drafted. (Committee/Scrutiny)	